

The distance-regular graphs such that all of its second largest local eigenvalues are at most one

Jack H. Koolen, Hyonju Yu

koolen@postech.ac.kr lojs4110@postech.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang 790-785, South Korea

January 12, 2013

Dedicated to Professor Dragos Cvetković on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract

In this paper, we classify distance regular graphs such that all of its second largest local eigenvalues are at most one. Also we discuss the consequences for the smallest eigenvalue of a distance-regular graph. These extend a result by the first author, who classified the distance-regular graph with smallest eigenvalue $-1 - \frac{b_1}{2}$.

1 Introduction

Koolen [10] classified the distance-regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue $-1 - \frac{b_1}{2}$.

Theorem 1.1 ([10]) *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter D and smallest eigenvalue $-1 - \frac{b_1}{2}$. Then either $a_1 \leq 1$ or one of the following holds:*

(I) $D = 2$ and

- (a) Γ is a complete multipartite graph $K_{n \times t}$ with $n \geq 4$, $t \geq 2$;
- (b) Γ is the complement of an $n \times n$ grid with $n \geq 4$;
- (c) Γ is the complement of a triangular graph $T(n)$, with $n \geq 5$;
- (d) Γ is the complement of the Petersen graph;
- (e) Γ is the complement of the Shrikhande graph;
- (f) Γ is the complement of one of the three Chang graphs;

(II) $D = 3$ and

- (a) Γ is the Johnson graph $J(6, 3)$ with intersection array $\{9, 4, 1; 1, 4, 9\}$;
- (b) Γ is the distance-2 graph of the halved 6-cube with intersection array $\{15, 8, 1; 1, 8, 15\}$;
- (c) Γ is the Gosset graph with intersection array $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 16, 27\}$;

(III) $D = 4$ and Γ is the Conway-Smith graph with intersection array $\{10, 6, 4, 1; 1, 2, 6, 10\}$.

Note that Case II of Theorem 1.1 was forgotten in [10].

For a vertex x of a graph Γ , let $\Delta(x)$ be the local graph of Γ , i.e. the subgraph induced on the neighbours of x . In this paper, we extend this Theorem 1.1 as follows. We determine the distance-regular graphs such that for all x the second largest eigenvalue of $\Delta(x)$ is at most one. Our main result is:

Theorem 1.2 *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter D such that for all vertices x , the second largest eigenvalue of the local graph of x , $\Delta(x)$, is at most one. Then, either $a_1 \leq 1$ or, one of the following holds:*

- (I) $D = 1$ and Γ is the complete graph K_n with $n \geq 4$;
- (II) $D = 2$ and
 - (a) Γ is a complete multipartite graph $K_{n \times t}$ with $n \geq 4$, $t \geq 2$;
 - (b) Γ is a complement of an $n \times n$ grid with $n \geq 4$;
 - (c) Γ is the complement of a triangular graph $T(n)$, with $n \geq 5$;
 - (d) Γ is the complement of the Petersen graph;
 - (e) Γ is the complement of the Shrikhande graph;
 - (f) Γ is the complement of one of the three Chang graphs;
 - (g) Γ is the Shrikhande graph;
 - (h) Γ is the Clebsch graph;
 - (i) Γ is the Paley graph with 13 vertices;
 - (j) Γ is the Paley graph with 17 vertices;
 - (k) Γ has possibly $\{12, 6; 1, 6\}$, $\{15, 8; 1, 6\}$, $\{18, 10; 1, 6\}$, $\{21, 12; 1, 6\}$, $\{21, 12; 1, 9\}$ or $\{27, 16; 1, 12\}$ as its intersection array;
- (III) $D = 3$ and
 - (a) Γ is the icosahedron with intersection array $\{5, 2, 1; 1, 2, 5\}$;
 - (b) Γ is the Johnson graph $J(6, 3)$ with intersection array $\{9, 4, 1; 1, 4, 9\}$;
 - (c) Γ is the Doro graph with intersection array $\{10, 6, 4; 1, 2, 5\}$;
 - (d) Γ is the distance 2-graph of the halved 6-cube with intersection array $\{15, 8, 1; 1, 8, 15\}$;
 - (e) Γ is the unique locally folded 5-cube distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$;
 - (f) Γ is the Gosset graph with intersection array $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 16, 27\}$;
- (IV) $D = 4$ and Γ is the Conway-Smith graph with intersection array $\{10, 6, 4, 1; 1, 2, 6, 10\}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and some results of Terwilliger and Hoffman, we can also extend Theorem 1.1 (and [3, Theorem 4.4.3]) in the following way.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $0 < \alpha < 1 + \sqrt{2}$. Then there exists $K = K(\alpha)$, such that any distance-regular graph with diameter D at least three, valency $k \geq K$, $a_1 \geq 2$ and smallest eigenvalue at most $-1 - \frac{b_1}{\alpha}$ is one of the following graphs:*

(I) $D = 3$ and

- (a) Γ is the icosahedron with intersection array $\{5, 2, 1; 1, 2, 5\}$;
- (b) Γ is the Johnson graph $J(6, 3)$ with intersection array $\{9, 4, 1; 1, 4, 9\}$;
- (c) Γ is the distance-2 graph of the halved 6-cube with intersection array $\{15, 8, 1; 1, 8, 15\}$;
- (d) Γ is the Gosset graph with intersection array $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 16, 27\}$;

(IV) $D = 4$ and Γ is the Conway-Smith graph with intersection array $\{10, 6, 4, 1; 1, 2, 6, 10\}$.

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we will give definitions and preliminaries, in Section 3, we give some results that we will use in the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In Section 4 we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2, in the first part we consider diameter at least three and the second part we consider diameter two. In the last section, we show Theorem 1.3.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

All the graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple (for unexplained terminology and more details, see [3]). Suppose that Γ is a connected graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)$, where $E(\Gamma)$ consists of unordered pairs of two adjacent vertices. The distance $d(x, y)$ between any two vertices x, y of Γ is the length of a shortest path connecting x and y in Γ . We denote by $\bar{\Gamma}$ the complement of Γ .

Let Γ be a connected graph. For a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, define $\Gamma_i(x)$ as the set of vertices which are at distance precisely i from x ($0 \leq i \leq D$), where $D := \max\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in V(\Gamma)\}$ is the diameter of Γ . In addition, define $\Gamma_{-1}(x) = \Gamma_{D+1}(x) = \emptyset$. We write $\Gamma(x)$ instead of $\Gamma_1(x)$. The adjacency matrix A of graph Γ is the $(0,1)$ -matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and the (x, y) -entry is 1 whenever x and y are adjacent (denoted by $x \sim y$) and 0 otherwise. The eigenvalues (respectively, the spectrum) of the graph Γ are the eigenvalues (respectively, the spectrum) of A . We denote the second largest eigenvalue of respectively a graph Γ or a square matrix Q with only real eigenvalues by $\theta_1(\Gamma)$, respectively $\theta_1(Q)$.

For a connected graph Γ , the *local graph* $\Delta(x)$ of a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$ is the subgraph induced on $\Gamma(x)$ in Γ .

For a graph Γ , a partition $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_\ell\}$ of the vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ is called *equitable* if there are constants β_{ij} such that each vertex $x \in P_i$ has exactly β_{ij} neighbors in P_j ($1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$). The *quotient matrix* $Q(\Pi)$ associated with the equitable partition Π is the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry equals β_{ij} ($1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$). Note that the eigenvalues of the quotient matrix $Q(\Pi)$ are also eigenvalues (of the adjacency matrix A) of Γ .

A connected graph Γ with diameter D is called *distance-regular* if there are integers b_i, c_i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) (where $b_D = 0 = c_0$) such that for any two vertices $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ with $d(x, y) = i$, there are precisely c_i neighbors of y in $\Gamma_{i-1}(x)$ and b_i neighbors of y in $\Gamma_{i+1}(x)$. In particular, any distance-regular graph is regular with valency $k := b_0$. Note that a *non-complete, connected strongly regular graph* is just a distance-regular graph with diameter two. In this case we say that θ_1 and θ_2 are the non-trivial eigenvalues. We define

$a_i := k - b_i - c_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq D$) for notational convenience. Note that $a_i = |\Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_i(x)|$ holds for any two vertices x, y with $d(x, y) = i$ ($1 \leq i \leq D$). For a distance-regular graph Γ and a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, we denote $k_i := |\Gamma_i(x)|$. It is easy to see that $k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i}$ and hence k_i does not depend on the vertex x . The numbers a_i , b_{i-1} and c_i ($1 \leq i \leq D$) are called the *intersection numbers* of the distance-regular graph Γ , and the array $\{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{D-1}; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_D\}$ is called the *intersection array* of Γ .

The next lemma gives some elementary properties concerning the intersection numbers.

Lemma 2.1 (Cf. [3, Proposition 4.1.6])

Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with valency k and diameter D . Then the following holds:

- (1) $k = b_0 > b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_{D-1}$;
- (2) $1 = c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \cdots \leq c_D$;
- (3) $b_i \geq c_j$ if $i + j \leq D$.

We will refer to the following theorem as the interlacing theorem.

Theorem 2.2 (Cf. [8, Theorem 9.1.1]) Let $m \geq n$ be two positive integers. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, which is similar to a (real) symmetric matrix, and let B be a principal $m \times m$ submatrix of A . Then, for $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\theta_{n-m+i}(A) \leq \theta_i(B) \leq \theta_i(A)$$

holds, where A has eigenvalues $\theta_1(A) \geq \theta_2(A) \geq \cdots \geq \theta_n(A)$ and B has eigenvalues $\theta_1(B) \geq \theta_2(B) \geq \cdots \geq \theta_m(B)$.

For $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t\}$ a partition of the vertex set of a graph Γ the *quotient matrix* with respect to Π is the $t \times t$ -matrix B whose (i, j) -entry equals $\sum_{x \in P_i} \frac{(\# \text{ of neighbours of } x \text{ in } P_j)}{|P_i|}$.

We also need the following interlacing result.

Theorem 2.3 (Cf. [8, Lemma 9.6.1]) Let Γ be a graph with ν vertices and eigenvalues $\theta_0 \geq \theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_{\nu-1}$. Let $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t\}$ be a partition of the vertex set of Γ with quotient matrix B with respect to Π . Then, for $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\theta_{\nu-t+i} \leq \theta_i(B) \leq \theta_i$$

holds, where B has eigenvalues $\theta_1(B) \geq \theta_2(B) \geq \cdots \geq \theta_t(B)$. Moreover if the interlacing is tight (there exists ℓ such that $\theta_i(B) = \theta_i$ for $i \leq \ell$ and $\theta_i(B) = \theta_{\nu-t+i}$ for $i > \ell$), then Π is equitable.

The following theorem summarizes some elementary results on strongly regular graphs.

Theorem 2.4 (Cf. [3, Theorem 1.3.1 and Proposition 1.3.2]) Let Γ be a non-complete connected strongly regular graph with ν vertices, distinct eigenvalues $k > \theta_1 > \theta_2$ and intersection numbers c_2 and b_1 . Then the followings hold;

- (i) $k = c_2 - \theta_1 \theta_2$;
- (ii) the multiplicity of θ_1 equals $\frac{(\theta_2+1)k(k-\theta_2)}{c_2(\theta_2-\theta_1)}$;

- (iii) $(\theta_1 + 1)(\theta_2 + 1) = -b_1$;
- (iv) If Γ is not a conference graph, then θ_1 and θ_2 are integers;
- (v) If Γ contains a coclique C of size γ , then $\theta_1 \leq \frac{(\nu-\gamma)(k-c_2)}{\gamma k}$.

The next theorem summarizes the results on regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -2 and is in essence due to Cameron et al. [5].

Theorem 2.5 (Cf. [3, Proposition 3.12.2]) *Let Γ be a connected regular graph with ν vertices, valency k , and smallest eigenvalue at least -2 . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) Γ is the line graph of a regular connected graph;
- (ii) Γ is the line graph of bipartite semiregular connected graph;
- (iii) $\nu = 2(k + 2) \leq 28$ and Γ is an induced subgraph of $E_7(1)$;
- (iv) $\nu = \frac{3}{2}(k + 2) \leq 27$ and Γ is an induced subgraph of Schläfli graph;
- (v) $\nu = \frac{4}{3}(k + 2) \leq 16$ and Γ is an induced subgraph of Clebsch graph;
- (vi) $\nu = k + 2$ and Γ is a $K_{m \times 2}$ for some $m \geq 3$.

Remark 2.6 (i) There are 187 regular connected graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -2 , which are not line graphs, see for example [4, p. 91].
(ii) In Cases (iii)-(v) of Theorem 2.5, one can say more by inspecting the 187 regular graphs of (i), namely there are only 5 graphs (all of which have 22 vertices) which are not an induced subgraph of one of the Schläfli graph or the three Chang graphs.

The following result was originally shown by J.J. Seidel [11].

Theorem 2.7 (Cf. [3, Proposition 3.12.4]) *Let Γ be a connected strongly regular graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 . Then Γ is a triangular graph $T(n)$ ($n \geq 5$), a square grid $n \times n$ ($n \geq 3$), a complete multipartite graph $K_{n \times 2}$ ($n \geq 2$), or one of the graphs of Petersen, Clebsch, Schläfli, Shrikhande, or Chang.*

Terwilliger [12] showed the following diameter bound for distance-regular graphs containing an induced quadrangle.

Theorem 2.8 (Cf. [3, Theorem 5.2.1 and Corollary 5.2.2]) *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter D . If Γ contains an induced quadrangle, then*

$$c_i - b_i \geq c_{i-1} - b_{i-1} + a_1 + 2 \quad (i = 2, \dots, D).$$

In particular,

$$D \leq \frac{k + c_D}{a_1 + 2} \leq \frac{2k}{a_1 + 2}.$$

Terwilliger [14] also determined the graphs which reach this diameter bound.

Theorem 2.9 (Cf. [3, Theorem 5.2.3]) *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter D at least $\frac{k+c_D}{a_1+2}$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) Γ is a Terwilliger graph;
- (ii) Γ is a strongly regular graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 ;
- (iii) Γ is a Hamming graph, a Doob graph, a Johnson graph, a halved cube, or the Gosset graph.

Tewilliger [13] also showed the following result on the eigenvalues of a distance-regular graph.

Theorem 2.10 (Cf. [3, Theorem 4.4.3]) *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter D at least three and distinct eigenvalues $k = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_D$. Let x be a vertex of Γ and let $\Delta(x)$ have eigenvalues $a_1 = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$. Then $-1 - \frac{b_1}{\theta_D + 1} \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_k \geq -1 - \frac{b_1}{\theta_1 + 1}$.*

Recall that a Terwilliger distance-regular graph is a distance-regular graph such that the induced subgraph on the common neighbours of any two vertices at distance two is complete.

Koolen [10] showed:

Proposition 2.11 [10, Proposition 9] *Let Γ be a distance-regular Terwilliger graph with $c_2 \geq 2$. If Γ has a vertex x such that $\theta_1(\Delta(x)) \leq 1$, then Γ is one of the following:*

- (i) *the icosahedron with intersection array $\{5, 2, 1; 1, 2, 5\}$;*
- (ii) *the Doro graph with intersection array $\{10, 6, 4; 1, 2, 5\}$;*
- (iii) *the Conway-Smith graph with intersection array $\{10, 6, 4, 1; 1, 2, 6, 10\}$.*

The following result shows a construction of antipodal distance-regular graphs with diameter three.

Proposition 2.12 ([3, Proposition 12.5.3]) *Let $q = rm + 1$ be a prime power, where $r > 1$ is an integer and either m is even or q is a power of two. Let V be a vector space of dimension two over the finite field with q elements, $GF(q)$. Let V be provided with a non-degenerate symplectic form B . Let K be the subgroup of the multiplicative $GF(q)^* = GF(q) \setminus \{0\}$ of index r , and let $b \in GF(q)^*$. Then the graph Γ with vertex set $\{Kv \mid v \in V \setminus \{0\}\}$, where $\{Ku, Kv\}$ is an edge if $B(u, v) \in bK$ and $Ku \neq Kv$ is distance-regular with diameter three, with $r(q+1)$ vertices and intersection array $\{q, q-m-1, 1; 1, m, q\}$, and it is an antipodal r -cover of the complete graph K_{q+1} .*

Remark 2.13 *For $q = 16$ and $r = 3$ we obtain a locally folded 5-cube distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$. This is the only known example of a distance-regular graph with this intersection array. We will show in Proposition 3.8 that there is a unique distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$, that is locally the folded 5-cube, a result that also was obtained by [2], cf. [3, p. 386].*

3 Some useful results

In this section we give some results which will be helpful to show our main results. First we give some sufficient conditions for a local graph of a distance-regular graph to be connected and coconnected, that is its complement is connected.

Proposition 3.1 *Let t be a positive integer. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph such that the local graph $\Delta(x)$ has second largest eigenvalue at most t for any vertex x of Γ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If $a_1 > t$, then for any vertex x , the local graph $\Delta(x)$ is connected;*
- (ii) *If Γ is not complete multipartite, then for any vertex x , the complement of $\Delta(x)$, $\overline{\Delta(x)}$, is connected.*

Proof: (i): This follows immediately from Theorem 2.2. (ii): If the complement of $\Delta(x)$ is not connected, then $\theta_{\min}(\Delta(x)) = -1 - b_1$. This means that $\theta_{\min}(\Gamma) \leq -1 - b_1$, and hence, by [3, Theorem 4.4.4], for all vertices x , the second largest eigenvalue of $\Delta(x)$ is at most $-1 - \frac{b_1}{1+(-1-b_1)} = 0$. So $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a disjoint union of cliques. This means that $\Delta(x)$ is complete multipartite for all x and hence Γ is complete multipartite. ■

In the next lemma we show a lower bound for the intersection number c_2 for a distance-regular graph Γ such that for some vertex x , the local graph $\Delta(x)$ is the complement of a line graph.

Lemma 3.2 *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with valency k such that for a vertex x the complement of $\Delta(x)$ is the line graph of a graph Σ .*

- (i) *If Σ is t -regular, with $t \geq 2$, then $c_2 \geq k - 3t + 3$.*
- (ii) *If Σ is semiregular, with degrees s, t satisfying $2 \leq s < t$, then $c_2 \geq k - 2s - t + 3$.*

Proof: (i) Take two distinct edges uv and uw of Σ . The number of edges that contain one of $\{u, v, w\}$ is at most $3t - 4$. This shows (i).

(ii) Similar argument. ■

As a consequence of Theorem 2.7, we have:

Proposition 3.3 (i) *If Γ is a strongly regular graph with $a_1 \geq 2$ with smallest eigenvalue at least -2 and if for any vertex x the eigenvalue $\theta_1(\Delta(x))$ is at most one, then Γ is the Shrikhande graph or the Clebsch graph.*

- (ii) *If Γ is a coconnected strongly regular graph with $a_1 \geq 2$ such that $\theta_1 \leq 1$, then Γ is the complement of an $n \times n$ grid ($n \geq 4$), a triangular graph $T(n)$ ($n \geq 5$), the Petersen graph, Shrikhande graph or a Chang graph.*

In the next result, we classify the Taylor graphs such that for some vertex x the local graph of x , $\Delta(x)$, has second largest eigenvalue at most one.

Proposition 3.4 *Let Γ be a Taylor graph with $a_1 \geq 2$ such that for some vertex x , $\theta_1(\Delta(x)) \leq 1$.*

Then Γ is one of the following graphs:

- (i) the icosahedron with intersection array $\{5, 2, 1; 1, 2, 5\}$;
- (ii) the Johnson graph $J(6, 3)$ with intersection array $\{9, 4, 1; 1, 4, 9\}$;
- (iii) the distance-2 graph of the halved 6-cube with intersection array $\{15, 8, 1; 1, 8, 15\}$;
- (iv) the Gosset graph with intersection array $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 16, 27\}$.

Proof: Let Γ be a Taylor graph with $a_1 \geq 2$ such that for some vertex x , $\theta_1(\Delta(x)) \leq 1$. Then by [3, Theorem 1.5.3], it is known that $\Delta(x)$ is a strongly regular graph, say with parameters $(\bar{v} = k, \bar{k} = a_1, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$, where $2\bar{\mu} = \bar{k} = a_1$ holds. As $\theta_1(\Delta(x)) \leq 1$, it means that the complement of the local graph of x , $\overline{\Delta(x)}$, has smallest eigenvalue at least -2 . Hence, by Theorem 2.7 and the fact that the only non-complete strongly regular graph with smallest eigenvalue bigger than -2 is the pentagon, we obtain that the complement of the local graph of x , $\overline{\Delta(x)}$, is one of the pentagon, the 3×3 grid, the Clebsch graph or the Schläfli graph. This shows that Γ has one of the four intersection arrays in the proposition and for each intersection array, there is a unique graph. ■

Lemma 3.5 *Let Γ be a k -regular graph with ν vertices and second largest eigenvalue at most one. Let $\{A, B\}$ be a partition of the vertex set of Γ such that $a := \#A > 0$ and $b := \#B$. Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} k - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & k - \beta \end{bmatrix}$ be the quotient matrix of the partition $\{A, B\}$. Then $\alpha \geq \frac{(k-1)b}{\nu}$ and, if equality holds, then the partition $\{A, B\}$ is equitable.*

Proof: By interlacing (Theorem 2.3), we obtain that $\alpha + \beta \geq k - 1$ as $\theta_1(Q) \leq \theta_1(\Gamma) \leq 1$. Since the size of A is a and the size of B is b , we have $\alpha a = \beta b$. These two formulae imply that $\beta \geq \frac{(k-1)a}{\nu}$ and $\alpha \geq \frac{(k-1)b}{\nu}$. Moreover, if equality holds, then the interlacing is tight, and hence $\{A, B\}$ is an equitable partition of Γ . ■

An immediate consequence of Lemma 3.5 is the following:

Lemma 3.6 *Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with parameters (ν, k, λ, μ) such that $\theta_1(\Delta(x)) \leq 1$ for any vertex x and let u and v be two fixed vertices at distance 2. Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & \lambda - \beta \end{bmatrix}$ be the quotient matrix of the partition $\{A, B\}$ of $V(\Delta(v))$, where $A = \Gamma_1(u) \cap \Gamma_1(v)$ and $B = \Gamma_2(u) \cap \Gamma_1(v)$. Then $\beta \geq \frac{(\lambda-1)\mu}{k}$ and $\alpha \geq \frac{(\lambda-1)(k-\mu)}{k}$. Moreover, if equality holds in either of them, then $\{A, B\}$ is an equitable partition.*

As another consequence of Lemma 3.5, for regular subgraphs of the complement of the Schläfli graph, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7 *Let Γ be the complement of the Schläfli graph. Let Σ be a $(t+2)$ -regular subgraph of Γ with $3(t+1)$ vertices, for some $t = 0, 1, \dots, 7$. Then the induced subgraph Σ' on $V(\Gamma) \setminus V(\Sigma)$ is a $(9-t)$ -regular subgraph (with $24 - 3t$ vertices).*

Proof: It follows immediately from Lemma 3.5, as $\alpha = t + 24$ and $\beta = 9 - t$, where $A = V(\Sigma)$ and $B = V(\Gamma) \setminus V(\Sigma)$. ■

The following result was shown by Böinck [2], but for the convenience of the reader we include its proof.

Proposition 3.8 *There is a unique distance-regular graph Γ that is locally the folded 5-cube and with intersection array $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$.*

Proof: We already have seen the existence of such a graph (Remark 2.13).

Now we will show the uniqueness of Γ .

Fix x a vertex. We will label the vertices of $\Delta(x)$ by the subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ of size at most two, where two subsets A and B are adjacent if $|A \Delta B| = 1$ if one of A, B has size at most one, or if A and B have both size two, then A and B are adjacent if $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Instead of \emptyset we write 0, instead of $\{i\}$ we write i and instead of $\{i, j\}$ we write ij .

For $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$, let $C(y) := \Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)$ and we define $\mathcal{C} := \{C(y) \mid y \in \Gamma_2(x)\}$. Then the induced subgraph on $C(y)$ is a pentagon of $\Delta(x)$.

We first give some properties of the set \mathcal{C} , which are easily checked.

- (i) $|C(y) \cap C(z)| \leq 2$ for all $y, z \in \Gamma_2(x)$;
- (ii) For each edge uv of $\Delta(x)$, there are exactly four $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$ such that $u, v \in C(y)$;
- (iii) For fixed $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, there are exactly ten $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$ such that $C(y)$ contains i, j ;
- (iv) For fixed $1 \leq i \leq 5$, there are exactly two $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$ such that $C(y) \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} = \{i\}$;
- (v) There are exactly two $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$ such that $C(y) \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} = \emptyset$;
- (vi) For $u, v, w \in \Gamma(x)$ such that $u \sim v \sim w$ there is a unique $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$ such $u, v, w \in C(y)$.

We will call pentagons of (iii), pentagons of type 1, pentagons of (iv), pentagons of type 2 and pentagons of (v), pentagons of type 3.

We will show the set \mathcal{C} is unique upto isomorphism.

Let $0', 0'' \in \Gamma_3(0)$. Then $C(0')$ and $C(0'')$ are pentagons of type 3 and $C(0') \cap C(0'') = \emptyset$.

Let P be the subgraph induced on $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma_2(0)$. Then P is a Petersen graph. Moreover, every pentagon of type 1 contains a unique edge of P and every pentagon of type 2 contains a path of length three in P .

Now every edge of P can only be the edge of two type 1 pentagons, (by Property (vi)). Also every edge of a pentagon of type 3 is contained in at least one pentagon of type 1.

Claim 1. There are only two cases, namely

- (i) each edge of a type 3 pentagon is contained in exactly two pentagons of type 1, and the other edges are contained in zero pentagons of type 1; and
- (ii) each edge of a type 3 pentagon is contained in exactly one pentagon of type 1, and the other edges are contained in two pentagons of type 1.

Proof of Claim 1: For an edge uv of P we define $w(uv)$ as the number of times uv is in a pentagon of type 1. For uv an edge of a type 3 pentagon we have $1 \leq w(uv) \leq 2$ and for the other edges uv we have $0 \leq w(uv) \leq 2$. We have $\sum_{uv \in E(P)} w(uv) = 20$. If an edge uv of a type 3 pentagon has weight 2, then both edges incident to uv , but not lying in a type three pentagon, have weight at most one, which in turn implies that

$$\sum_{uv \text{ not in a pentagon of type 3}} w(uv) \leq 6.$$

This, in turn, implies that there are two incident edge uv and uw of a type both with weight 2. We obtain that the third edge of P , containing u has to have weight 0, and

hence

$$\sum_{uv \text{ not in a pentagon of type 3}} w(uv) \leq 4.$$

Continuing in this manner we obtain that all edges which do not lie in a type 3 pentagon must have weight 0 and the rest weight 2. This shows the claim. ■

Claim 2. Case (ii) of Claim 1 is not possible.

Proof of Claim 2: Without loss of generality we may assume that the vertices of $C(0')$ are 12, 34, 15, 23 and 45. The path 12, 34, 25 must be in a pentagon of type 2 and hence the fourth vertex of this pentagon contained in P must be 13 or 14. In similar fashion the path 35, 12, 34 must be in a pentagon of type 2 and hence the fourth vertex of this pentagon contained in P must be 24 or 14. This means that $2 \sim 12 \sim 34 \sim 3$ must lie in a pentagon of type 1. In similar fashion we see that for each edge of a pentagon of type 3, the neighbours of this edge in $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ are uniquely determined. Now for the edges of P not in a pentagon of type 3 are edges of two pentagons of type 1. Now, for example, for the edge 12, 35 either one of these pentagons contains 1, 3, 12 and 35 and the other one 2, 5, 12 and 35. But the edge 35, 24 lies in a pentagon of type 1 also containing 2 and 5 and the edge 35, 14 lies in a pentagon of type 1 also containing 1 and 3. But as a pentagon has an odd number of edges, we can not finish the set \mathcal{C} in this case. ■

Claim 3: \mathcal{C} is uniquely determined upto isomorphism.

Proof of Claim 3: First we note that the type 2 pentagons are determined by the type 3 pentagons. Now fix a type 2 pentagon C . Then there is a unique vertex u of $\Delta(x)$ at distance 2 from this pentagon C . This determines one new pentagon of type 3 with respect to u and at least 4 new pentagons of type 2 with respect to u . Continuing in this fashion one easily sees that \mathcal{C} is uniquely determined. ■

Now the proof is easy to complete. We know the neighbours y_1, \dots, y_{10} of 0 in $\Gamma_2(x)$, and $y_i \sim y_j$ if and only if $i \neq j$ and $C(y_i) \cap C(y_j) \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} = \emptyset$. As 0 is any vertex of $\Delta(x)$ we have shown all the edges in $x \cup \Gamma(x) \cup \Gamma_2(x)$. Now an easy induction argument completes the proof. ■

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will give a proof of Theorem 1.2 in this section. First we will consider the distance-regular graphs with diameter at least three, and later we will consider the strongly regular graphs.

4.1 Distance-regular graphs with diameter at least three

Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with ν vertices, diameter D at least three, $a_1 \geq 2$ such that $\theta_1(\Delta(y)) \leq 1$ for any vertex y of Γ . Clearly $c_2 \geq 2$, otherwise $a_1 \leq 1$. Let x be a fixed vertex of Γ . By Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 2.11, we may assume that Γ is neither a Taylor graph, nor a Terwilliger graph, and hence we have $c_2 < b_1$, by [3, Theorem 1.5.5]. Since $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is connected, by Proposition 3.1, we have the following six cases by Theorem 2.5.

Case 1) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is the line graph of a t -regular graph with $t + \alpha$ vertices where $\alpha \geq 1$ is an integer.

Then $k = \frac{1}{2}t(t + \alpha)$, $b_1 = 2t - 2$ and by Lemma 3.2, $c_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}t(t + \alpha) - 3t + 3$. Since $b_1 > c_2$, we have $\frac{1}{2}t(t + \alpha) < 5t - 5$. Hence the only possible pairs of (t, α) are $(4, 3)$, $(t = 2, 4, 6$ and $\alpha = 2)$, and $(2 \leq t \leq 7$ and $\alpha = 1)$. As $k_2 = kb_1/c_2$ (with $c_2 < b_1$) is an integer and $a_1 \geq 2$, the only possibilities are: $4 \leq t \leq 6$ and $\alpha = 1$, and $t = 4$ and $\alpha = 2$. By Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, we see that the diameter D is three (as for $(\alpha, t) = (1, 4)$ we have $k = 10$, $b_1 = 6$, and hence $D \leq 2 \times 10/3 + 2 = 4$, but equality can not occur). It is easy to check that the number of vertices of Γ is at most 162. Hence, by the tables of [3, Chapter 14], we see that Γ cannot be primitive, and we already assumed Γ is not a Taylor graph, so Γ must be an antipodal r -cover with diameter three, and $r \geq 3$. But this means that $2c_2 \leq b_1$, and the only possible intersection arrays are: $\{12, 6, 1; 1, 3, 12\}$, $\{15, 8, 1; 1, 4, 15\}$, $\{10, 6, 1; 1, 2, 10\}$ and $\{10, 6, 1; 1, 3, 10\}$. But there is no distance-regular graph with any of these intersection arrays, see for example [7, Table 1].

Case 2) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is the line graph of a bipartite semiregular graph of valency s, t with $2 \leq s < t$ (as $a_1 \geq 2$) and, with $\sigma t = \tau s$ vertices ($\sigma \geq s, \tau \geq t$).

Then $k = \sigma t$, $b_1 = s + t - 2$ and by Lemma 3.2, we have $c_2 \geq \sigma t - 2s - t + 3$. Since $b_1 > c_2$, it follows $t(\sigma - 2) < 3s - 5$. It follows that $\sigma = s = 2$. But, then $k = 2t$, $b_1 = t$ and $c_2 = t - 1$, and hence $t = 3$. By Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, this is impossible.

Case 3) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a subgraph of $E_7(1)$. Then the possible pairs for (k, b_1) are $(2t + 4, t)$ with $12 \geq t \geq 3$. By Theorem 2.8, we obtain $t \geq 7$ and $D = 3$. As Γ is not Terwilliger we obtain $c_2 \geq 2(a_1 + 1) - k + 1 + 1 = 6$. This means that Γ has at most $1 + 28 + 2 \cdot 28 + 4 \cdot 28 = 197$ vertices. Hence, by the tables of [3, Chapter 14], we see that Γ cannot be primitive, and we already assumed Γ is not a Taylor graph, so Γ must be an antipodal r -cover with diameter three, and $r \geq 3$. But this means that $2c_2 \leq b_1$, and the only possible intersection array is: $\{28, 12, 1; 1, 6, 28\}$. But there is no distance-regular graph with this intersection array, as by [3, p.431], its eigenvalues must be integer, but this is not the case.

Case 4) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a subgraph of the Schläfli graph. Then the possible pairs for (k, b_1) are $(3(t + 1), 2t)$ with $8 \geq t \geq 2$. By Theorem 2.8, we obtain $D \leq 4$ if $t \geq 5$ and $D = 3$ otherwise. Again, with Theorem 2.8 we also see $b_2 - c_2 \leq t - 5 \leq 3$. This means that $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq \frac{5}{2}$. This means that we have one of the following:

- (a) $D = 3$ and $n \leq 784$;
- (b) $D = 4$ and $n \leq 2134$. So this means, again by [3, Chapter 14] that Γ must be an antipodal r -cover with $r \geq 3$. As one of $a_1 = c_2$ and the eigenvalues are integral holds, we obtain that Γ has one of the following intersection arrays: $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 4, 27\}$, $\{24, 14, 1; 1, 7, 24\}$, $\{21, 12, 1; 1, 4, 21\}$ and $\{15, 8, 1; 1, 4, 15\}$, but no distance-regular graphs exist with intersection array $\{21, 12, 1; 1, 4, 21\}$ and $\{15, 8, 1; 1, 4, 15\}$, as the first one has non-integral

multiplicities and the second one does not exist, by [7, Table 1]. So the two remaining intersection arrays are $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 4, 27\}$, and $\{24, 14, 1; 1, 7, 24\}$.

Case 5) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a subgraph of Clebsch graph. Then $(k, b_1) = (4t + 4, 3t + 1)$, with $1 \leq t \leq 3$. Then by Theorem 2.8 we obtain $D \leq 4$ and $n \leq 1 + 16 + 5 \cdot 16 + 25 \cdot 16 = 497$ if $D = 3$ and $n \leq 497 + 125 \cdot 16 = 2497$ if $D = 4$. So again we only need to look at the antipodal r -covers with $r \geq 3$, and in similar fashion as in previous case we obtain that Γ has $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$ as its intersection array. But then Γ is locally the folded 5-cube and there is a unique such distance-regular graph, by Proposition 3.8.

Case 6) If $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a $K_{m \times 2}$, then $a_1 = 1$.

So the theorem is shown if the diameter is at least three except that Γ can still have two remaining intersection arrays $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 4, 27\}$, and $\{24, 14, 1; 1, 7, 24\}$ as its intersection array. As both occur only in Case 4, we see that in the first case Γ is locally the complement of the Schläfli graph and in the second case locally the subgraph of the complement of Schläfli graph in which a triangle is removed (Lemma 3.7). But that means that in both cases the subgraph on the common neighbours of two vertices at distance two has minimal degree at least four, but that means that this subgraph has triangles, which is a contradiction with the fact that the complement of the Schläfli graph has no induced $K_{2,1,1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem in case the diameter is at least three. \blacksquare

4.2 Distance-regular graphs with diameter two

Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter two, $a_1 \geq 2$ and with non-trivial eigenvalues $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ such that $\theta_1(\Delta(x)) \leq 1$ for any vertex x . We may assume that Γ contains a quadrangle and that it is not complete multipartite and let x be a fixed vertex. By Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 3.3, we may assume $\theta_1 > 1$ and $\theta_2 < -2$. Either Γ has only integral eigenvalues or Γ has intersection array $\{2t, t; 1, t\}$ with $t \geq 1$ an integer (Lemma 2.4 (iv)). For $t \leq 2$, the smallest eigenvalue θ_2 is at least -2 . For $t = 3$ and $t = 4$ there exists a unique graph (see [1]) namely the Paley graph on, respectively, 13 and 17 vertices. In each case it is easy to check that $\theta_1(\overline{\Delta(x)}) \leq 1$ for all vertices x . Also (see [1]) there does not exist such a graph with intersection array $\{21, 10, 1, 10\}$. So we may assume $\theta_1 \geq 2$ and $\theta_2 \leq -3$.

For a vertex x , the number m_x will denote the multiplicity of 1 as an eigenvalue of $\Delta(x)$. If $m_x \geq k_2 + 2$ for some vertex x , then, by interlacing (Theorem 2.2), we see that $\theta_1 = 1$. So, from now on, we may assume that m_x is at most $1 + k_2$ for any vertex x .

We first will show:

Claim: Γ has possibly one of the following intersection arrays: $\{45, 16, ; 1, 24\}$, $\{28, 12; 1, 16\}$, $\{27, 16; 1, 6\}$, $\{27, 16; 1, 12\}$, $\{24, 12; 1, 6\}$, $\{21, 12; 1, 6\}$, $\{21, 12; 1, 9\}$, $\{18, 10; 1, 6\}$, $\{15, 8; 1, 6\}$, and $\{12, 6; 1, 6\}$.

Proof of Claim:

As Γ has smallest eigenvalue at most -3 and second largest eigenvalue at least two, we find by Theorem 2.4, that $b_1 \geq 6$.

Fix x a vertex of Γ . Since $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is connected for any vertex x (Proposition 3.1), we have the following six cases to consider, by Theorem 2.5.

Case 1) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is the line graph of a t -regular graph Σ with $t + \alpha$ vertices. By looking at the vertex-edge incidence matrix of Σ one sees: $\frac{1}{2}t(t + \alpha) - (t + \alpha) \leq m_x$. We also obtain $b_1 = 2t - 2 \geq 6$, $k = \frac{1}{2}t(t + \alpha)$ and, by Lemma 3.2, $c_2 \geq k - 3t + 3$. Suppose that k is at most $5t - 6$. Then $4 \leq t \leq 7$.

Suppose that k is at least $5t - 5$. Then $m_x \leq 1 + k_2 \leq 1 + \frac{2t-2}{k-3t+3}k$, as $c_2 \geq k - 3t + 3$ and $b_1 = 2t - 2 \geq 6$. This implies $\frac{1}{2}t(t + \alpha) - (t + \alpha) \leq 1 + k_2 \leq 1 + \frac{2t-2}{k-3t+3}k$. Then $4 \leq t \leq 10$.

So, in conclusion, we have $4 \leq t \leq 10$.

If Γ is a conference graph, then $\frac{t(t+\alpha)}{2} = k = 2b_1 = 4t - 4$, and hence Γ has intersection array $\{12, 6; 1, 6\}$ (as $t \geq 4$). Now we may assume that Γ has integral eigenvalues. If $t = 4, 5, 6, 8$, then the non-trivial eigenvalues of Γ are -3 and $t - 2$ (Theorem 2.4 (iii)) and hence $c_2 = k - 3(t - 2)$, by Theorem 2.4 (i). This implies (using $k - t - \alpha \leq k_2 + 1$, and $c_2 = k - 3(t - 2)$ for $t = 4, 5, 6, 8$ and $c_2 \geq k - 3t + 3$ otherwise,) that for the pair (t, α) , we have only the following possibilities: $(t = 4$ and $\alpha \leq 5)$, $(t = 5$ and $\alpha = 1, 3)$, $(t = 6$ and $\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$, $(t = 7$ and $\alpha = 1, 3, 5)$ and $(t = 8, 9, 10$ and $\alpha = 1)$. By checking the tables of [1], we see that Γ has one of the following intersection arrays: $\{45, 16; 1, 24\}$, $\{28, 12; 1, 16\}$, $\{15, 8; 1, 6\}$ and $\{12, 6; 1, 6\}$.

Case 2) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is the line graph of a bipartite semiregular graph Σ with valencies s , t ($2 \leq s < t$) and $\sigma t = \tau s$ edges. Then we obtain $m_x \geq \sigma t - \sigma - \tau$, $b_1 = s + t - 2$, $k = \sigma t = \tau s$ and, by Lemma 3.2, we have $c_2 \geq k - 2s - t + 3$. As $b_1 \geq 6$, we obtain $t \geq 5$.

If $\sigma \leq 3$, then $3 \geq \sigma \geq s$, and hence $s = 2, 3$. Now Γ has a coclique of size at least t . As $\theta_1 \geq 2$, we obtain, by Theorem 2.4 (v), that Γ has more than $5t - 4$ vertices, if $\sigma = 2$, and Γ has at least $\frac{6t^2}{t+3} + t$ vertices, if $\sigma = 3$. As $c_2 \geq t - 1$, if $\sigma = 2$, and $c_2 \geq 2t - 3$, if $\sigma = 3$, we see that one of the following holds: $\sigma = 2$ and $t \leq 7$; $\sigma = 3$ and $t \leq 6$. As $b_1 \geq 6$ we see that the only possibilities for (s, t, σ) are $(2, 6, 2)$, $(2, 6, 3)$, $(2, 7, 2)$, $(3, 5, 3)$ and $(3, 6, 3)$.

We now consider the case $\sigma \geq 4$. Then Γ cannot be a conference graph as $k \neq 2b_1$, so Γ has integral eigenvalues.

Suppose that k is at most $3s + 2t - 6$. Then $t(\sigma - 2) \leq 3s - 6$. Since $2 \leq s < t$ and $\sigma \geq s$, we obtain $\sigma = 2$.

So, we assume that k is at least $3s + 2t - 5$. Then $1 + k_2 \leq 1 + \frac{s+t-2}{k-2s-t+3}k \leq 3s + 2t - 4$ as $\frac{s+t-2}{k-2s-t+3}k$ is decreasing in k . As $m_x \leq k_2 + 1$, we find $\tau s - \sigma - \tau \leq 3s + 2t - 4$, and hence $(s - 2)\tau + 5 \leq 3s + 2t$, as $\sigma < \tau$. Now, as $\tau \geq t$, we obtain: $(s - 4)t + 5 \leq 3s$.

So, if $s \geq 5$, then for the pair (s, t) we obtain the following possibilities: $s = 5$ and $6 \leq t \leq 10$. Moreover, if $s = 5$, then $3\tau + 5 \leq 2t + 15$, so $6 \leq t \leq \tau \leq 10$. This in turn implies $\sigma = 5$ and $\tau = t$ as $\sigma t = \tau s$.

For $s = 4$ we obtain $2\tau + 5 \leq 2t + 12$ and this implies $\tau \leq t + 3$. As $\tau s - \sigma - \tau \leq 3s + 2t - 4$ and $\sigma t = k = 4\tau \leq 4t + 12$, we obtain $\sigma = s = 4$ and $\tau = t$.

Before we treat $s = 2, 3$, we first look at the case $4 \leq s = \sigma \leq 5$, and hence $t = \tau$. Using $1 + k_2 \leq 1 + \frac{s+t-2}{k-2s-t+3}k$ and $k = st$, we obtain $st - s - t \leq 1 + \frac{s+t-2}{st-2s-t+3}st$. It is easy to see that there is no solution for $s = 5$, for $s = 4$, one has $t = 5, 6$, but $t = 5$ is impossible, as then b_1 would be a prime.

Now let us return to the case $s = 3$ (and $\sigma \geq 4$). Using $\tau + 1 \leq 2\tau - \sigma = k - \tau - \sigma \leq 1 + k_2 \leq 1 + 3\tau \frac{t+1}{(\sigma-1)t-3}$, we obtain $4 = \sigma$ or $t \leq 6$. In case $\sigma = 4$, in similar fashion as above, one again obtains $t \leq 6$. As $b_1 = t + 1$ is a composite at least 6, we obtain $t = 5$. Now the non-trivial eigenvalues are -3 and 2 and hence $k_2 = 5\sigma \frac{6}{5\sigma-6}$ is an integer, but there are no solutions for σ .

So we are left with the case $s = 2$. Then $b_1 = t$, $k = \sigma t = 2\tau$. Then, as above, $k - \sigma - \tau \leq 1 + \frac{s+t-2}{k-2s-t+3}k$, and hence we obtain $\sigma = 4$ and $t = 6, 8$ (as $b_1 = t \geq 6$ and composite).

Summarizing for this case: for the pair (k, b_1) we have the following possibilities: $(32, 8)$, $(24, 8)$, $(24, 6)$, $(18, 7)$, $(18, 6)$, $(15, 6)$, $(14, 7)$ and $(12, 6)$.

By checking the tables of [1], in this case Γ has possibly one of the following intersection arrays:

$\{12, 6; 1, 6\}$, $\{14, 7; 1, 7\}$, and $\{15, 6; 1, 9\}$. But the two intersection arrays $\{2t, t; 1, t\}$ ($t = 6, 7$) are impossible in this case, as Γ would have a coclique of size t and hence second largest eigenvalue smaller than two, by Theorem 2.4 (v), a contradiction. And the intersection array $\{15, 6; 1, 9\}$ is impossible, as then the coclique size would be 5, and again this contradicts Theorem 2.4 (v).

Case 3) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a subgraph of $E_7(1)$. Then the possible pairs of (k, b_1) are $(2t + 4, t)$ ($1 \leq t \leq 12$). As Γ is not a conference graph, we find that the eigenvalues of Γ must be integral and $\theta_1 \geq 2$ and $\theta_2 \leq -3$. Hence $b_1 \geq 6$ and a composite. Using $c_2 - k = \theta_1\theta_2$ and k_2 is an integer, we have the following remaining cases: $\{24, 10; 1, 12\}$ and $\{28, 12; 1, 16\}$, but the first case cannot happen, see the tables of [1].

Case 4) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a subgraph of the Schläfli graph. Then the possible pairs of (k, b_1, c_2) are $(3t + 3, 2t)$ ($1 \leq t \leq 8$). Then Γ has integral eigenvalues. Now in similar fashion as the previous case, we obtain the following possible intersection arrays: $\{27, 16; 1, 6\}$, $\{27, 16; 1, 12\}$, $\{24, 12; 1, 6\}$, $\{21, 12; 1, 6\}$, $\{21, 12; 1, 9\}$, $\{18, 10; 1, 6\}$, $\{15, 8; 1, 6\}$ and $\{12, 6; 1, 6\}$.

Case 5) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is a subgraph of the Clebsch graph. Then the possible pairs of (k, b_1) are $(16, 10)$, $(12, 7)$, $(8, 4)$ and $(4, 1)$. Now in similar fashion as in cases 3) and 4), there are no possible intersection arrays.

Case 6) $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ is the $K_{m \times 2}$. Then $a_1 = 1$.

This finishes the proof of the claim. ■

To finish the proof of the theorem when the diameter is two, we still need to rule out the intersection arrays: $\{45, 16; 1, 24\}$, $\{28, 12; 1, 16\}$, $\{27, 16; 1, 6\}$, and $\{24, 12; 1, 6\}$.

When $k = 28$, then we see that we are in Case 1) or Case 3). In either case, for any vertex x , the local graph $\Delta(x)$ is the complement of a Chang graph or the complement of $J(8, 2)$. This means that $\Delta(x)$ is strongly regular and has $\mu = 10$ and hence for the α in Lemma 3.6, we have $6 < \alpha = 6$, a contradiction. In similar fashion, the case $k = 45$ is ruled out.

The intersection arrays $\{27, 16; 1, 6\}$, and $\{24, 12; 1, 6\}$ are ruled out in the same manner as for the intersection arrays $\{27, 16, 1; 1, 4, 27\}$, and $\{24, 14, 1; 1, 7, 24\}$ in the diameter three case. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.3. First we recall a result of Hoffman. Hoffman [9] showed:

Theorem 5.1 (Cf. [3, Theorem 3.12.5]) *Let σ_k be the supremum of the smallest eigenvalues of graphs with minimal valency k and smallest eigenvalue < -2 . Then $(\sigma_k)_k$ forms a monotone decreasing sequence with limit $-1 - \sqrt{2}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Let $\alpha < 1 + \sqrt{2}$. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter at least three and smallest eigenvalue at most $-1 - \frac{b_1}{\alpha}$. Let x be a vertex of Γ . Then $\Delta(x)$ has second largest eigenvalue at most $\alpha - 1$. Now the complement of $\Delta(x)$, $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ has smallest eigenvalue at least $-\alpha$ and valency b_1 . As $D \geq 3$ $b_1 \geq (k+1)/3$ (as $b_1 \geq c_2 \geq 2a_1 + 2 - k + 1 = 2b_1 + k + 1$). Theorem 5.1 shows that there exists a $K = K(\alpha)$ such that if $k \geq K$, then $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ has smallest eigenvalue at least -2 , that is $\Delta(x)$ has second largest eigenvalue at most one. Now by checking the graphs of Theorem 1.2 we obtain Theorem 1.3. This completes the proof. ■

We end this paper with a remark.

Remark 5.2 (i) *A strongly regular graph satisfies $(\theta_1 + 1)(\theta_2 + 1) = -b_1$ and only the conference graphs have non-integral eigenvalues. Therefore Theorem 1.3 is not interesting for $D = 2$.*

(ii) *Question: For given $1 < \beta$ are there only finitely many distance-regular graphs with diameter at least three, $a_1 \geq \beta$ and smallest eigenvalue at most $-1 - \frac{b_1}{\beta}$?*

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Jongyook Park for the careful reading he did. JHK was partially supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (grant number 2009-0089826).

References

- [1] <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/graphs/srg/>
- [2] F. Böinck, Stageverslag over grafen die lokaal een gegeven graaf zijn, manuscript, 1988 (Dutch).
- [3] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, Distance-regular graphs, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1989.
- [4] F.C. Bussemaker, D. Cvetković and J.J. Seidel, Graphs related to exceptional root systems, *Eindhoven University of Technology, T.H. Report 76-WSK-05*, 1976, 91 pages.
- [5] P.J. Cameron, J.M. Goethals, J.J. Seidel and E.E. Shult, Line graphs, root systems and elliptic geometry, *J. Algebra* **43** (1976), 305-327.
- [6] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. Simić, Spectral generalizations of line graphs – On graphs with least eigenvalue -2 , *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 314 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2004.

- [7] E. R. van Dam, W. H. Haemers, J. H. Koolen and E. Spence, Characterizing distance-regularity of graphs by the spectrum, *JCT(A)* **113** (2006) 1805-1820.
- [8] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic graph theory, GTM **207**, *Springer, New York*, 2001.
- [9] A.J. Hoffman, On graphs whose least eigenvalue exceeds $-1 - \sqrt{2}$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **16** (1977) 153-166.
- [10] J.H. Koolen, The distance-regular graphs with intersection number $a_1 \neq 0$ and with an eigenvalue $-1 - \frac{b_1}{2}$, *Combinatorica* **18(2)** (1998), 227-234.
- [11] J.J. Seidel, Strongly regular graphs with $(-1, 1, 0)$ -adjacency matrix having eigenvalue 3, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1** (1968), 281-298.
- [12] P. Terwilliger, Distance-regular graphs with girth 3 or 4, I. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **39** (1985), no. 3, 265-281.
- [13] P. Terwilliger, A new feasibility condition for distance-regular graphs, *Discrete Math.* **61** (1986) 311-315.
- [14] P. Terwilliger, Root systems and the Johnson and Hamming graphs, *European J. Combin.* **8** (1987) 73-102.